Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/623,316	CHILDERS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ginger T. Chapman	3761
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Ginger T. Chapman</u> .	(3)	
(2) Philip A. Kunz.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 20 August 2010	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: 35 USC 103(a) Bonomini in view of Stringer		
Claims discussed: 1, 62, 63		
Prior art documents discussed: Bonomini et al (US 4,269,708); Stringer et al (US 6,960,322 B2)		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
/Ginger T Chapman/ Examiner, Art Unit 3761		
	Applicant/Applicant's Representa-	tive Signature - if appropriate)

Application No. 10/623,316

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: During a telephone call with Applicants' representative the features of the connector, the pump priming the patient fluid loop prior to being connected to the patient, and the first and second patient lumens, in particular the first patient lumen extending farther into the multiple lumen connector as disclosed in the instant Specification and Figure 2 and newly added claim 62 in combination with the features of the dialysis system were discussed.